

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JULIE DALESSIO, an individual,
Plaintiff,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, a
Washington Public Corporation; ELIZA
SAUNDERS, Director of the Office of
Public Records, in her personal and official
capacity; ALISON SWENSON, Compliance
Analyst, in her personal capacity; PERRY
TAPPER, Public Records Compliance
Officer, in his personal capacity; ANDREW
PALMER, Compliance Analyst, in his
personal capacity; JOHN or JANES DOES
1-12, in his or her personal capacity,

Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-00642-MJP

DECLARATION OF
JAYNE L. FREEMAN IN SUPPORT
OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST DISCOVERY
REQUESTS (DKT. 136) AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
PROTECTIVE ORDER RE:
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST DISCOVERY
REQUESTS

**NOTED FOR HEARING: FRIDAY,
DECEMBER 28, 2018**

I, Jayne L. Freeman, declare as follows:

1. I am appointed as a Special Assistant Attorney General representing Defendants in this lawsuit. I make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge, upon facts which are admissible in evidence. I am competent to testify to the matters set forth in this declaration.

2. Attached hereto as **Ex. A** is a true and correct copy of Defendants' Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Discovery Requests, served on Plaintiff July 6, 2018. As reflected in the document, even this initial, earlier response included detailed information and references to documents that had already been produced to

1 Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel as well as to additional documents produced at that time.
 2 The responses were supplemented again on August 6, 2018, and supplemented with
 3 separate signatures on separate documents by five different Defendants that had to be
 4 created by Defendants as original responses had been provided in the format Plaintiff sent
 5 the discovery requests—one document seeking information from five different parties.

6 3. Plaintiff challenges the Defendant University's designation of an
 7 attorney/client privilege objection to producing the following documents in its privilege
 8 log:

- 9 • **Item 9:** the 21 pages of this item, also subject to RCW 4.92.210(s), include
 10 risk management notes re: phone consultations and/or emails with AAGs
 11 Rob Kosin, Kelly Harmon, and Nancy Garland.
- 12 • **Item 18:** includes a forwarded email communication with AAG Rob Kosin
 13 and Nancy Garland.
- 14 • **Item 19:** upon further review, this entry was mistakenly thought to contain
 15 an attorney/client communication as an attachment, but it does not;
 16 Defendants therefore with draw the attorney-client objection as to this
 17 document. However, it is still subject to the RCW 4.92.210(2) claims
 18 investigation privilege.
- 19 • **Item 21:** includes a forwarded email communication with AAG Rob Kosin
 20 and Nancy Garland.

21 4. Plaintiff also challenges the Defendant University of Washington's objection
 22 to producing documents subject to the RCW 4.92.210(2) claims investigation privilege,
 23 primarily on the allegation that the "claim was closed on October 25, 2016." This
 24 document appears to be a computer-generated form from an Office of Financial
 25 Management system with this title; however, it also lists the "date of loss" as January 3,
 26 2003. While it is unclear why the system generated this document, it is undisputed that UW
 27 Risk Managers continued to investigate and evaluate Ms. Dalessio's claim well into 2017

1 before it was denied on February 2, 2017. This information is reflected in copies of non-
2 privileged communications between Ms. Dalessio and Shari Spung (Risk Management)
3 were previously produced to Plaintiff on June 1, 2017 with Defendants Initial Disclosures.
4 *See, Ex. B* (UW001981-UW001988).

5 5. Thereafter, Risk Management continued to follow through with document
6 preservation as required by obligations to establish a “litigation hold” on documents that
7 may reasonably be expected to related to potential litigation. Ms. Dalessio continued to
8 express disagreement with the University’s position and filed this lawsuit in March of 2017.
9 It was served on the Attorney Generals’ Office on April 3, 2017, at which time Risk
10 Management continued its investigation, evaluation, and management defense of
11 Ms. Dalessio’s liability claims against the State University.

12
13 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the
14 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

15
16 DATED this 20th day of December, 2018, at Seattle, Washington.

17
18 /s/ Jayne L. Freeman

19 Jayne L. Freeman, WSBA #24318
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

EXHIBIT A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

8 JULIE DALESSIO, an individual,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, a
12 Washington Public Corporation; Eliza Saunders,
13 Director of the Office of Public Records, in her
14 personal and official capacity; Alison Swenson,
15 Compliance Analyst, in her personal capacity;
16 Perry Tapper, Public Records Compliance Officer,
17 in his personal capacity; Andrew Palmer,
18 Compliance Analyst, in his personal capacity; John
19 or Jane Does 1-12, in his or her personal capacity,

20 Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-00642-MJP

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF
DISCOVERY REQUESTS

**AND DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS
AND RESPONSES THERETO**

21 Comes now, Ms. Julie Dalessio, through her attorney Joseph Thomas, submits the
22 following Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civil P.
23 33 and 34.
24

25 **I. INTERROGATORIES.** In accordance with Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil
26 Procedure, you are required to answer the following interrogatories under oath within thirty (30)
27 days after you receive them. These interrogatories are intended to discover information and/or
28 documents not only within your personal knowledge or obtainable by you, but also information in
possession of or obtainable by your attorneys, investigators, representatives, employees, agents,

or anyone acting on your behalf or on their behalf.

These interrogatories are continuing in nature and any information which may be discovered by you subsequent to the service and filing of your answers must be brought to the attention of Plaintiff's attorney through supplemental answers when it becomes available to you. If there are any additions, deletions, or changes in the answers or information provided at any time prior to hearing you are specifically requested to immediately inform Plaintiff's attorney. If such information is not furnished, Plaintiff's attorney at the time of the hearing will move to exclude from evidence such information requested and not furnished.

When you answer to any interrogatory is based in whole or in part upon information supplied by an individual other than one appointed by you to sign and verify the answers, please specifically so state as part of your answer to that interrogatory and give that individual's name, address, and employment or other relationship with you.

If in responding to or failing to respond to these interrogatories and requests for production you invoke or rely upon any privilege of any kind, state specifically the nature of the privilege; the basis upon which you invoke, rely upon or claim it, including any statutory or decisional reference; and identify all documents or other information, including contacts and communications which you believe to be embraced by the privilege invoked.

II. Request for Production of Documents. In accordance with Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Defendant must provide Plaintiff's attorney a copy of the requested documents, identified by the request number, within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this request. If a request is made for documents which are no longer in Defendants' possession, please state when such documents were most recently in the possession of the Defendant, and what disposition, if any, was made of them. If such documents have been destroyed, please identify the person who destroyed them and the date of and reasons for their destruction.

Please provide complete answers and attach additional pages as needed. If records are available in electronic format, then please provide the records in electronic format.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

If your answer to any interrogatory is “N/A” or “Not Applicable”, describe in detail your reasons for making such a reply.

In reply to any interrogatory, do not merely state “see attached records” unless you have no additional memory of the matters referenced to in the interrogatory. If you have any additional memory of the relevant events, describe it in detail.

If records are available in electronic format, then please provide the records in electronic format.

DEFINITIONS

For purposes of this discovery request the following abbreviations and definitions shall apply.

1. The terms “you” and “your” mean the party to whom these interrogatories are addressed, and your attorneys, agents, employees, representatives, investigators, and any other person who is in possession of, or who has obtained information on your behalf.

2. The term “document” means any information stored in any form; any written, recorded or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced; and copies and drafts thereof. Without limiting the foregoing, “document” includes correspondence; telegrams; memoranda; reports; notes; drafts; minutes; contracts; agreements; books; records; vouchers; invoices; diaries; logs; calendar notes; computer printouts; memory programs; information stored in any data processing or word processing system in whatever form; backup materials of any kind; card files; press clippings; newspapers or newsletters; sworn or unsworn statements or employees; lists; audits; tables of organization; deposit slips; monthly or other periodic statements; ledgers; journals; notices; affidavits; court papers; appointment books; minutes or records of conferences or telephone calls; brochures; receipts; written reports or opinions of investigators or experts; status reports; drawings; charts; photographs; negatives; or tape recordings within your possession, or subject to your control, of which you have knowledge, or to which you now have,

or have had access, or of which any of your agents, attorneys, accountants, or consultants have knowledge. A comment or notation appearing on any document, not part of the original text, is to be considered as a separate “document.”

3. The term “identify” and “fully describe” mean:

a. When referring to a natural person, state his/her full name, his/her present or last known business or home address if there is no business address.

b. When referring to a document, state its title and date; identify the author or person who prepared it and any signatories to it; give the type of document (e.g., letter, memorandum, invoice); its present location and custodian; a summary of its contents, or principal terms and provisions; the identity of its addressees and all other persons receiving it or copies of it. If the document so identified was, but is not any longer, in your possession, custody and control, state what disposition has been made of it. Attach a copy of it to your response to these interrogatories.

c. When referring to an act, transaction, event, incident, communication, conversation or occasion, fully describe the matter. State its date and place of occurrence (or if a telephone call is involved, so state and provide the identity and location of all parties and identify the person who initiated the call); identify each person participating in or witnessing the event; describe in detail all circumstances leading up to or surrounding it; describe in detail what occurred or transpired, and what was said by whom; and, identify all documents summarizing, recording, reflecting, reporting, or containing a reference to it.

Dated this 06 day of June, 2018.

/s/ Joseph Thomas

Joseph Thomas

Attorney for Plaintiff

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

Defendants object to the preambulatory language and “instructions” in that they attempt to impose obligations beyond those found in the civil rules. Defendants will respond pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16, 26, 33 and 34.

INTERROGATORIES

1. Please fully identify all persons, personnel, representatives, businesses and agencies who have examined, copied, or had access to employee records and documents pertaining to Plaintiff. In answering this Interrogatory, please include the person’s job title, placement, and responsibilities in the organization. Include identification of persons who had access to Plaintiff’s records as a part of their day-to-day job, and identification of the present locations and custodians of these records. The scope applies to locations and custodians both before and after the requests at issue in this lawsuit. Identify the records accessed by each of the persons, personnel, representatives, businesses and agencies.

- In identifying the documents contained in the PR 2016-00760, Defendants should refer to the file names and page numbers that have been filed in the court records, under seal, as Dkt. 38.
- In identifying the documents contained in the PR 2015-00570, Defendants should refer to the page numbers used in a specific docket filed with this Court.

This interrogatory is addressed separately to and should be answered separately by:

- A. University of Washington:
- B. Eliza Saunders:
- C. Perry Tapper:
- D. Alison Swenson:
- E. Andrew Palmer:

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. This request is unduly burdensome, overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, vague (“employee records and documents”) and irrelevant to the claims in Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint.

Without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff’s “employee records and documents” begin in 1987 when she was first hired by the University of Washington. She left her employment with the University in 2003, fourteen years before she filed this lawsuit. During that time period, there may be any number of persons who may have “had access to” some records somehow related to Plaintiff, including Human Resources employees and Plaintiff’s supervisors.

Additionally, this request has no limit as to the years Plaintiff is seeking this information for, and in fact explicitly requests the same information for new individuals who may have access to these records at any point during litigation and discovery in this lawsuit. It would be extremely burdensome to provide this information for any person who may have accessed any information for any reason.

Further, PR 16-00760 alone is 1142 pages, and it is undisputed that the documents released in response to this request were only produced to Plaintiff herself, not to any third parties outside the University. It is not proportional to the needs of the case (especially given Plaintiff’s remaining claims based on allegations of “invasion of privacy”) to determine who may have had access to each page at some point in time over the past thirty years as part of routine business practices, including when the page may not have been part of a particular “file”.

Plaintiff’s Dkt. No. 38 was filed with the court via computer disk, and lists each separate document as a separate .pdf as opposed to one combined document. For purposes of discovery and litigation management, the University has combined .pdfs regarding the various requests and Bates-stamped them with a numbering system UW00001-UW00XXX. Plaintiff has been provided these Bates-stamped documents and corresponding information about the documents. The references herein will be to those numbered documents.

Without waiving said objections,

1 A. **University of Washington:** see Defendants' Supplemental Initial
 2 Disclosures, sent to Plaintiff on May 18, 2018, including detailed
 3 descriptions of individuals who may have located or transmitted various
 4 records related to Plaintiff's employment internally and citations to the
 5 bates stamp numbers of the documents they located or forwarded to the
 6 Office of Public Records for processing.

7 B. **Eliza Saunders:** does not have personal knowledge of this information,
 8 and would obtain it from reviewing University documents already provided
 9 to Plaintiff.

10 C. **Perry Tapper:** does not have personal knowledge of this information, and
 11 would obtain it from reviewing University documents already provided to
 12 Plaintiff.

13 D. **Alison Swenson:** Ms. Swenson has knowledge regarding her involvement
 14 processing PR-2015-00570 (Betz request), PR-2016-00283 (Dalessio
 15 request), and PR-2016-00283 (Dalessio request):

- 16 • The documents reflecting transmittal of her requests for and receipt
 17 of responsive documents regarding PR-2015-00570 are reflected in
 18 the University documents labeled UW 2001-2031. The documents
 19 produced to Mr. Betz in response to PR-2015-00570 are labeled
 20 UW00004-392 and copies of the documents were filed as Dkt. No.
 21 30-1, 30-2 (then re-filed as Dkt. Nos. 32, 33, 34 under seal).
- 22 • Documents responsive to PR-2015-283 and UW 779-787, filed as
 23 Dkt. No. 30-9, were located internally in the OPR. Documents
 24 responsive to PR-2016-218 (Dalessio request for copies released to
 25 Betz) are located at UW 393-778 and were located internally in the
 26 OPR. They were not filed in court.

E. **Andrew Palmer:** has personal knowledge regarding his processing of PR-2016-760 (Dalessio request). The documents reflecting transmittal of his requests for and receipt of responsive documents are reflected in the University documents labeled UW 2032-2401, UW 2402-2422, UW2423-2454, and UW 2455-2952.

2. Please fully identify communications, for any and all current and former employees of the University of Washington and University of Washington Medicine, any form, with any person (excluding your attorneys), regarding the matters alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, state: (a) The identity of the person(s) with whom such communications were made; (b) What was said to the person(s) identified in subsection (a); and (c) The date and form (written or oral) of each such communications.

This interrogatory is addressed separately to and should be answered separately by:

- A. University of Washington
- B. Eliza Saunders
- C. Perry Tapper
- D. Alison Swenson
- E. Andrew Palmer

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. Defendants object to this interrogatory to the extent it calls for privileged Attorney-Client communications, Work Product, or University Claim Services claim files and databases that are confidential under state law, RCW 4.92.210(2) and (6) and RCW 43.19.781(3) which protects claim management, evaluation, and negotiation, is vague ("matters alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint") and overbroad. Plaintiff's request for "all communications" in any form by any current and former employees regarding "the matters alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint" is far outside the bounds of what is proportional to the needs of this case and extremely overbroad and unduly burdensome.

In addition to Plaintiff's broad allegations, including a breach of contract claim that refers

back to a separation agreement executed when she left her employment in 2003, Plaintiff's Complaint includes discussion of other current or former employees at the University of Washington regarding whom she has made public records requests since after she filed her lawsuit in 2017, none of whom have any relation to Plaintiff's claims based on release of her records prior to those dates. Without waiving said objections, see Defendants' response to Interrogatory Number 1, and the documents and descriptions provided in Defendant's Supplemental Initial Disclosures, sent to Plaintiff on May 18, 2018.

A. **University of Washington:** See responses of individual Defendants and additional response below detailing each individual who searched for and located documents transmitted to the Office of Public Records in response to Public Records Requests for University documents related to Ms. Dalessio.

B. **Eliza Saunders:** had communications from Risk Management and the Attorney General's Office regarding Plaintiff's tort claim and lawsuit.

C. **Perry Tapper:** had a telephone call from Ms. Dalessio on or about May 20, 2016 after documents responsive to PRA-2016-00760 had been produced to Ms. Dalessio. He did not keep notes of the conversation as it was not associated with an open request. Typically, each Compliance Analyst is responsible for his or her own case file, including making exemptions and/or redactions, and production of documents to requestors. Mr. Tapper routinely speaks with Compliance Analysts in the Office of Public Records and Open Meetings regarding questions or issues that may come up regarding a Public Records Request or response. He believes he spoke with Alison Swenson regarding one of Ms. Dalessio's requests, but do not recall the exact subject matter or discussion.

D. **Alison Swenson:** had communications with University personnel as listed in the University's responses and documents related to PRA-2015-00570

from Mr. Betz. She consulted with her supervisor, Perry Tapper, regarding some issues related to this request as is typical with many requests. She also had communications from Risk Management regarding Plaintiff's tort claim and lawsuit.

E. **Andrew Palmer:** had communications with University personnel as listed in the University's responses regarding PRA-2016-00760 from Ms. Dalessio. He produced responsive records to Ms. Dalessio. He also had communications from Risk Management regarding Plaintiff's tort claim and lawsuit.

Additionally, the following individuals have had contact with Ms. Dalessio:

- Rob Kosin, AAG: telephone call with Ms. Dalessio on October 18, 2016 (AAG Kosin prepared a memo regarding the call that is protected from disclosure by attorney-client privilege).
- Perry Tapper: telephone call with Ms. Dalessio on or about May 20, 2016 (there are no records regarding this phone call)
- Shari Spung, Risk Management: received and issued correspondence and emails regarding Plaintiff's tort claim. See, document Nos. UW1981-1988 (already produced by the University to Plaintiff).
- Ms. Dalessio may have had telephone conversations with other staff at the University, but the University has not been able to locate other documents reflecting or documenting such calls.

3. Please identify all person or persons who made redactions, and which pages those persons or persons redacted for request PR 2015-00570.

This interrogatory is addressed separately to and should be answered separately by:

A. University of Washington: see response below.

B. Eliza Saunders: None.

C. Perry Tapper: None.

D. Alison Swenson: See response below.

E. Andrew Palmer: None.

RESPONSE: Objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome. The documents speak for themselves.

Alison Swenson made all redactions to PR 2015-00570 that are identified on the PR 2015-00570 response provided to Plaintiff earlier in this litigation. See UW 000009-UW 000378, produced to Plaintiff on or around June 1, 2017. The same documents appear in Dkt. #33, 32, 34 (under seal).

Additional redactions were made (upon belief and review by counsel) to Dkt. 33, pp. 9-10, 47 and Dkt. 34, pp. 16, 19, 20, 162, 165 by defense counsel in the course of litigation, per agreement of the parties, in an effort to avoid re-disclosure of Plaintiff's Social Security Number (SSN) in locations where the redactions were previously inadvertently overlooked. Defense counsel also made redactions in compliance with the court rules and additional redactions that were not required but could be mistaken as Plaintiff's social security number. The specific redactions by defense counsel were made for the following reasons:

- Dkt. 33
 - Pgs. 9-10: Date of Birth redacted pursuant to FRCP 5.2.
 - Pg. 47: SSN (redaction inadvertently missed by university staff during processing of public records request-subject of plaintiff's claims)
- Dkt. 34
 - Pgs. 16, 19, 20: Plaintiff's EID in a "SSN" designated area. This was redacted by defense counsel to avoid confusion.
 - Pg. 162: SSN (redaction inadvertently missed by university staff during processing of public records request-subject of plaintiff's claims)
 - Pg. 165: Date of Birth redacted pursuant to FRCP 5.2.

This response may be supplemented.

4. Please identify with specificity the location of each of the documents produced in PR 2015-00570 and PR 2016-00760. The specificity of the location should include: the department, the building, whether the location was secure (if so, how was it secure). This interrogatory is addressed separately to and should be answered separately by:

A. University of Washington:

B. Eliza Saunders:

C. Perry Tapper:

D. Alison Swenson:

E. Andrew Palmer:

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. This request is unduly burdensome; overbroad; not proportional to the needs of the case; not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; irrelevant. Without waiving said objections, see Defendant's Supplemental Initial Disclosures, sent to Plaintiff on May 18, 2018 for citations to documents and descriptions of who located and/or found the documents and where they were located when collected for transmittal to the Office of Public Records.

A. University of Washington: Please see response below.

B. Eliza Saunders: does not have personal knowledge of this information; she would have to review and research the same documents produced by the University to Plaintiff. She does not have personal knowledge of the security of various buildings other than the Office of Public Records and Open Meetings, which is located behind doors that remain locked at all times.

C. Perry Tapper: does not have personal knowledge of this information; he would have to review and research the same documents produced by the University to Plaintiff. He does not have personal knowledge of the security of various buildings other than the Office of Public Records and Open Meetings, which is located behind doors that remain locked at all times.

D. Alison Swenson: Copies of the documents produced in response to PR-2015-00570 were transmitted to the Office of Public Records and were located there while she

1 processed them for release. The locations of where the documents were located then transmitted
2 to her are reflected in the transmittal documents found at UW2001-2031. She does not have
3 personal knowledge of the security of various buildings other than the Office of Public Records
4 and Open Meetings, which is located behind doors that remain locked at all times.

5 E. Andrew Palmer: Copies of the documents produced in response to PR-206-00760
6 were transmitted to the Office of Public Records and were located there while he processed them
7 for release. The locations of where the documents were located then transmitted to him are
8 reflected in the transmittal documents found at UW2032-2401. He does not have personal
9 knowledge of the security of various buildings other than the Office of Public Records and Open
10 Meetings, which is located behind doors that remain locked at all times.

11 Upon information and belief, Ms. Dalessio's Human Resources Personnel File has been
12 maintained in the central campus Human Resources Office since she left the University. This is a
13 secure facility.

14 The Department of Laboratory Medicine consists of approximately 900 employees and
15 operates at several locations around Seattle. Employee Department files are typically maintained
16 at the Harborview location after separation. This is a secure facility and few employees have
17 access to these files that are maintained in a locked room before they are sent for long-term
18 storage at the Records Management System. The Department of Virology, where Ms. Dalessio
19 and Dr. Rhoda Morrow Ashley worked is located at Children's Hospital in Northeast Seattle
20 (Sand Point Way). Ms. Dalessio's Department of Laboratory Medicine file has been maintained
21 off-site in the Records Management System warehouse since 2005. This a secure facility located
22 on the grounds of Magnuson Park in Northeast Seattle. Records Management System staff does
23 not access files and records inside the boxes they are stored in. The boxes can only be checked
24 out from the Records Management System with authorization of a designated Records
25 Coordinator or Records Authority.

Copies of various records related to Ms. Dalessio appear to have been transmitted and stored electronically by the following individuals as part of the research, collection, and production process required under RCW 42.56, Washington's Public Records Act:

Jeanie Miele, former UW Medicine Compliance Analyst: records reflect that Ms. Miele received a request to locate records responsive to PR-2015-00570 sent by Alison Swenson, Office of Public Records, on September 16, 2015. Ms. Miele searched for a departmental representative who may be able to locate a Lab Medicine department file for Plaintiff. On September 17, 2015, Karen Holloway reported to Ms. Miele that she submitted the request to a Program Coordinator (presumably Matt Maria) so the records could be retrieved from storage in the Records Management System warehouse. On September 25, 2015, Ms. Miele received an email and attached a "Julie Dalessio file" via email from Karen Holloway and forwarded the records to the Office of Public Records on September 25, 2015 and again on October 8, 2015. See, UW002003, UW002455-UW002952.

Karen Holloway, former Associate Administrator, Dept. Laboratory Medicine: University records reflect on September 17, 2015, Karen Holloway reported to Jeanie Miele that she submitted the request to a Program Coordinator (presumably Matt Maria) so the records could be retrieved from storage Records Management Services, an off-site storage facility. On September 25, 2015, Ms. Miele received an email and attached a "Julie Dalessio file" via email from Karen Holloway and forwarded the records to the public records office on September 25, 2015 and again on October 8, 2015. See, UW002003, UW002455-UW002952.

University records reflect Ms. Holloway requested Plaintiff's department file be retrieved from Records Management Services again in December 2016 in response to Ms. Dalessio's PR-2016-760 request and subsequently forwarded a copy of Plaintiff's department file to Lauren

Fischer on December 12, 2016. The documents were forwarded to Amy Robles, who uploaded them to the public records office. See, UW002032-002401. Records reflect Ms. Holloway then located one more set of documents related to Plaintiff when she was clearing out her own office the last time upon retirement; she forwarded the documents to Amy Robles, Mr. Durant, and Ms. Fischer on December 16, 2016, and they were uploaded to the office of public records. UW00265-UW002299.

Matt Maria, former Program Coordinator, Lab Medicine: Records reflect former employee Matt Maria requested a box from Records Management Services warehouse (#43135) on or about September 21-22, 2015 that contained Plaintiff's department file from Laboratory Medicine in response to PRR-2015-00570. The box was returned to the warehouse on or about October 18, 2016. Records Management Services records reflect box #43135 contained "Personnel Records Terms, A-F, Year 2003". UW002966- UW002995.

Records reflect Mr. Maria again requested box #43135 from the Records Management Services warehouse (#43135) on or about December 5, 2016, that the box was checked out of Records Management Services on December 12, 2016 for delivery and returned to the Records Management warehouse December 15, 2016. UW002966- UW002995.

Records reflect that Mr. Maria may have originally sent the department files located in box #3 ("personnel records Terms A-F 2003") from the Lab Medicine Department (Harborview locations) to Records Management Services for off-site storage in 2005. UW002960-UW002964.

Amy Robles, UW Medicine Compliance Analyst, uploaded records responsive to PR-2016-00760 (Dalessio) to the public records office on or about December 22, 2016 in response to a request from public records analyst Andrew Palmer. UW002395-UW002399.

Records reflect Ms. Robles received additional records that Karen. Holloway located

when she was clearing out her office the last time upon retirement and forwarded on December 16, 2016. UW00265-UW002299. These were not documents maintained in Plaintiff's official HR Personnel or Laboratory Medicine Department file.

Ms. Robles uploaded a second installment of records responsive to PR-2016-00760 on or about January 6, 2017 to the public records office. See, UW002421-UW002422. Records reflect Ms. Robles obtained authorization for public records to request a snapshot of Rhoda Ashley Morrow's email account. UW002409-UW002414.

Cheryl Manekia, Program Operations Specialist, reported to public records Analyst Alison Swenson on April 25, 2016 that she searched and located no records of employment verification requests for Julie Dalessio or records responsive to PRR-16-00283. In September of 2015, Ms. Manekia searched for and forwarded records responsive to PRR-2015-00570 from the payroll office to Ms. Swenson in the public records office. UW000392, UW001992-UW001994.

Odessa Visitacion, Human Resources Specialist provided a certification on April 27, 2016 that she searched but located no records responsive to Public Records Request 16-00283 in the Medical Centers Human Resources Department files. UW001995.

Paola Quinones, former Human Resources Specialist: On October 9, 2015, Ms. Quinones forwarded records responsive to PRR-2015-570 from Campus Human Resources to Ms. Swenson in the public records office. On October 14, 2015, Ms. Quinones forwarded documents responsive PRR-2015-570 located in the Benefits Office to Ms. Swenson in Public Records. On October 21, 2015, Ms. Quinones forwarded a document received from the Disability Services Office (DSO) office responsive PRR-2015-570 to Ms. Swenson in public records. See, UW002001-UW002011.

On December 6, 2016, Ms. Quinones forwarded records responsive to PR-2016-00760 to public records analyst Andrew Palmer. On December 20, 2016, Ms. Quinones reported to Mr. Palmer that DSO did not have documents responsive to the request. UW002415-UW002420.

On April 27, 2017, Ms. Quinones reported to public records staff that the Campus Human Resources Office did not locate any records responsive to PRR-16-00283. UW001996-UW001998.

Ana Marie Keeney, Human Resources Consultant located copies of notice of charge and from the Washington State Human Rights Commission (WSHRC) sent to UW Human Resources in 2016 regarding Plaintiff's complaints WSHRC #17EZ-0220-16-7/EEOC #38G-2017-00020 and the Commission's final action finding no jurisdiction and related documents sent in 2016-2017. UW002966-UW00304.

5. Please identify any and all persons who had access to each of the locations identified in Interrogatory number four (4). This should include current and former employees, current and former contract workers, members of the public, or students of the University of Washington or University of Washington Medicine.

This interrogatory is addressed separately to and should be answered separately by:

- A. University of Washington
- B. Eliza Saunders
- C. Perry Tapper
- D. Alison Swenson
- E. Andrew Palmer

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. This request is unduly burdensome, overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and vague ("locations"). However, the physical location requested in Interrogatory Number 4 was a "department" or "building." Even if this was narrowed to simply the room the file was kept

in, it would still be inordinately disproportionate to the needs of the case to have Defendants somehow track down each person who may have “had access to” each of the locations at any point in the past 15-30 years.

6. Please fully identify the person or persons who redacted the document and stamped the document with the statutory exemptions, in Dkt. 30-2, page 131. To help identify the document in question, it is on a “Children’s Hospital & Medical Center” letterhead. The document is written by Rhoda L. Ashley, PHD.

This interrogatory is addressed separately to and should be answered separately by:

- A. University of Washington
- B. Eliza Saunders
- C. Perry Tapper
- D. Alison Swenson
- E. Andrew Palmer

RESPONSE:

- A. University of Washington: Alison Swenson made the redactions on the documents produced in response to PR-2015-00570 (the Betz request), copies of which were filed by the University’s attorneys in this lawsuit as Exhibit A (part 2) (Dkt. 30-2) to my August 21, 2017 declaration, Dkt. 30.
- B. Eliza Saunders: does not have personal knowledge of this.
- C. Perry Tapper: does not have personal knowledge of this; however, Alison Swenson processed PR-2015-00570 and she would have been responsible for redacting the documents.
- D. Alison Swenson: made the redactions on the documents produced in response to PR-2015-00570 (the Betz request), copies of which were filed by the University’s attorneys in this lawsuit as Exhibit A (part 2) (Dkt. 30-2) to my August 21, 2017 declaration, Dkt. 30, and re-filed under seal at

Dkt. Nos. 32, 33, and 34.

E. Andrew Palmer: does not have personal knowledge of this.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. Please produce all documents identifying Public Records Act lawsuits brought against the University of Washington or any sub-agency of the University of Washington pursuant to RCW 42.56.010(1) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. This request is overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and irrelevant, and seeks documents and information protected by attorney/client and/or work product doctrines. Plaintiff does not have any PRA claims remaining in this lawsuit, and therefore this request has no relevance to Plaintiff's claims and is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, nor do any of the lawsuits listed below involve the claims that Plaintiff is pursuing in this matter. Further, documents and information contained in the University Claim Services claim files and databases is confidential under state law, RCW 4.92.210(2) and (6) and RCW 43.19.781(3) which protects claim management, evaluation, and negotiation.

Without waiving said objection, the following lawsuits have been filed against the University of Washington containing claims based on RCW 42.56:

- *Rachel Peebles v. University of Washington* (2016) (alleged failure to produce records sought under PRA, RCW 42.56) (settled before trial in 2016)(see attached)
- *C. Chambers v. University of Washington* (2016) (alleged delay in producing records sought under PRA, RCW 42.56) (settled before trial in 2016)(see attached)
- *Seattle Times v. University of Washington* (2015) (alleged failure to produce records in effort to comply with HIPAA) (settled following ruling on Summary Judgement in 2017)(see attached)
- *Dalessio v. University of Washington* (2017) (alleged disclosure of private information in responding to PRA request pursuant to RCW 42.56) (Amended Complaint in 2018)

dropped claims based on PRA, RCW 42.56)

- *Bart Kahr v. University of Washington* (2017) (alleged delay and failure to produce records sought under PRA, RCW 42.56)(see attached)
- *Jane Does 1-10 v. University of Washington* (2016) (injunction sought seeking to preclude production of records sought under PRA, RCW42.56; cross-claim for PRA violations against UW brought by defendant David Daleiden in spring 2018) (2016 preliminary injunction reversed and remanded by the Ninth Circuit, 695 Fed. Appx. 265 (8-14-17); on remand, preliminary injunction granted in 2017 and clarified by court order March 8, 2018, appeal of preliminary injunction currently pending in the Ninth Circuit (see attached)
- *Civil Rights Justice Center, PLLC v. University of Washington* (2016) (alleged delay and failure to produce records sought under PRA, RCW 42.56) (dismissed with prejudice in 2017)(see attached)
- *Alice Cabuhat v. University of Washington* (2017) (Amended employment discrimination Complaint that contained a claim for alleged failure to produce records sought under PRA, RCW 42.56) (settled 2017)(see attached)
- *Isabelle Bichindaritz v. University of Washington* (2012) (employment lawsuit that contained a claim for alleged failure to produce/over-redaction of records sought under PRA, RCW 42.56), judgment against the University of Washington reversed by Div. I Court of Appeals, 185 Wn. App. 1055, 2105 WL 677209. Companion claim denied 616 Fed. Appx. 317 (9th Cir. 2015) (see attached)

See attached Document Nos. UW3005-4154. Defendant University of Washington requests Plaintiff narrow this request to seeking documents that are related and proportional to the needs of discovery related the claims Plaintiff is pursuing. This response may be supplemented as necessary.

2. Please produce all documents identifying Public Records Act lawsuits brought against the University of Washington Medicine or any sub-agency of the University of Washington Medicine pursuant to RCW 42.56.010(1).

RESPONSE: See, response to Plaintiff's RFP No. 1. "UW Medicine" is a brand name and is not an employing organization separate from the University of Washington. All named Defendant-employees and identified witnesses are or were at relative times employed by the University of Washington, regardless of whether their positions were associated with the "UW Medicine" identifier.

3. Please produce all documents identifying judgments or settlements against the University of Washington or any sub-agency of the University of Washington violations of the right to inspect and copy, RCW 42.56.550(1), or violations of the reasonable estimate, RCW 42.56.550(2) under the Public Records Act from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: **OBJECTION.** This request is overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and irrelevant, and seeks documents and information protected by attorney/client and/or work product doctrines. Plaintiff does not have any PRA claims remaining in this lawsuit, and therefore this request has no relevance to Plaintiff's claims and is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, nor do any of the lawsuits listed involve the claims that Plaintiff is pursuing in this matter. Further, documents and information contained in the University Claim Services claim files and databases is confidential under state law, RCW 4.92.210(2) and (6) and RCW 43.19.781(3) which protects claim management, evaluation, and negotiation.

Without waiving the foregoing objection, see documents produced in response to RFP No. 1 to the extent any may be responsive to this RFP.

Defendant University of Washington requests Plaintiff narrow this request to seeking documents that are related and proportional to the needs of discovery related the claims Plaintiff

is pursuing. This response may be supplemented as necessary.

4. Please produce all documents identifying judgments or settlements against the University of Washington Medicine or any sub-agency of the University of Washington Medicine arising out of violations of the right to inspect and copy, RCW 42.56.550(1), or violations of the reasonable estimate, RCW 42.56.550(2) under the Public Records Act from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: See, response to Plaintiff's RFP No. 3. "UW Medicine" is a brand name and is not an employing organization separate from the University of Washington. All named Defendant-employees and identified witnesses are or were at relative times employed by the University of Washington, regardless of whether their positions were associated with the "UW Medicine" identifier.

5. Please produce all documents identifying violations by the University of Washington or any sub-agency of the University of Washington concerning the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: **OBJECTION.** This request is overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, irrelevant, and vague ("violations by the University of Washington or any sub-agency of the University of Washington concerning the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act."). It also may call for documents subject to attorney-client, work product, or claims investigation privileges.

Without waiving said objections, this response may be supplemented.

6. Please produce all documents identifying violations by the University of Washington Medicine or any sub-agency of the University of Washington Medicine concerning the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: See, response to Plaintiff's RFP No. 5. "UW Medicine" is a brand name and is not an employing organization separate from the University of Washington. All named Defendant-employees and identified witnesses are or were at relative times employed by the University of Washington, regardless of whether their positions were associated with the "UW Medicine" identifier.

7. Please produce all documents identifying complaints of any exempt information wrongfully produced under the Public Records Act by the University of Washington or any sub-agency of the University of Washington pursuant to RCW 42.56.010(1) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. This request is overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, irrelevant, and vague, and may seek documents and information protected by attorney/client, work product doctrines. Plaintiff does not have any PRA claims remaining in this lawsuit, and therefore this request has no relevance to Plaintiff's claims and is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, nor do any of the lawsuits listed below involve the claims that Plaintiff is pursuing in this matter. Further, documents and information contained in the University Claim Services claim files and databases is confidential under state law, RCW 4.92.210(2) and (6) and RCW 43.19.781(3) which protects claim management, evaluation, and negotiation.

Without waiving said objections, and upon information and belief:

- Julie Dalessio, 2016 tort claim (followed by this lawsuit)
- Gerald Rosen (2013), in course of employment dispute, faculty-member raised complaint that some emails in his UW email account were private and not subject to PRA disclosure (employment matter & all complaints settled-no lawsuit filed)(see documents produced in response to RFP No. 1).

This response may be supplemented as necessary.

8. Please produce all documents identifying complaints of any exempt information wrongfully produced under the Public Records Act by the University of Washington Medicine or any sub-agency of the University of Washington Medicine pursuant to RCW 42.56.010(1) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: See, response to Plaintiff's RFP No. 7. "UW Medicine" is a brand name and is not an employing organization separate from the University of Washington. All named Defendant-employees and identified witnesses are or were at relative times employed by the University of Washington, regardless of whether their positions were associated with the "UW Medicine" identifier.

9. Please produce all documents identifying Public Records Act policies, procedures, training manuals of the University of Washington or any sub-agency of the University of Washington pursuant to RCW 42.56.010(1) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: Objection, this request is vague and overbroad. Without waiving these objections, The Office of Public records relies on RCW 42.56, WAC 478-276 and documents bates-stamped UW0002953-UW0002959 (glossary of excerpts from RCW 42. 56) as a guiding source for policies, procedures and training. If necessary, the Office also may research or refer to additional statutory references as needed. See also, attached Document Nos. 4155-4165. This response may be supplemented as necessary.

10. Please produce all documents identifying Public Records Act policies, procedures, training manuals of the University of Washington Medicine or any sub-agency of the University of Washington Medicine pursuant to RCW 42.56.010(1) from January 01, 2003 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: Please see response to Plaintiff's RFP No. 9. "UW Medicine" is a brand name and is not an employing organization separate from the University of Washington. All named Defendant-employees and identified witnesses are or were at relative times employed by the

University of Washington, regardless of whether their positions were associated with the “UW Medicine” identifier.

11. Please produce all documents identifying positions and the job description (responsibilities) for persons employed by the University of Washington Office of Public Records and Open Meetings from January 01, 2014 to June 04, 2018.

RESPONSE: Please see attached job descriptions for the positions of Compliance Analysts, Compliance Officer, and Director of the Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings, Document Nos. UW4166-4180.

12. Please produce all documents identifying positions and the job description (responsibilities) for persons identified in Plaintiff’s Initial Disclosures as employees of the University of Washington who are likely to have information pertaining to Plaintiff’s claims.

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. This request is overbroad, not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, irrelevant, and vague. Without waiving said objections, this response may be supplemented.

13. Please produce all documents identifying positions and the job description (responsibilities) for persons identified in Plaintiff’s Initial Disclosures as employees of the University of Washington Medicine who are likely to have information pertaining to Plaintiff’s claims.

RESPONSE: Please see response and objections to Plaintiff’s Request for Production Number 12.

14. Identify any conduct or documents pertaining to Plaintiff that you assert are privileged inter-corporate communications and which documents are “sequestered” by the UW.

RESPONSE: OBJECTION. Defendant objects to this request to the extent it calls for privileged Attorney-Client communications and/or work Product and claims investigation privileged information, is overbroad, is not proportional to the needs of the case, not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and vague (“sequestered” and “conduct”), and calls for a legal conclusion.

Without waiving the foregoing objection, intra-corporate communications among University staff are privileged in that they do not constitute information that is communicated or “published” to a third party, and therefore preclude claims that include “publication” or communication to a third party as an element of the claim.

The University has been unable to locate with specificity documents that remain currently “sequestered” from Plaintiff’s “official Personnel Department file and Department of Laboratory Medicine Files” in 2018, if this is what this request is seeking. The University located a Lab Medicine Department file which has been stored in the off-site Records Management System since 2005, and an official Personnel File which was located in central campus Human Resources. It is possible any such “sequestered” documents have since been purged or destroyed in the interim 15 years since the 2003 separation agreement between UW and Plaintiff.

15. Please Produce all Request Summary Reports and “Exemption Logs,” “Officer Reports” (“AccessPro Redaction” lists of documents withheld), inventories of wholly exempt items, or other documents pertaining to the following Public Records:

- PR 2015-00570
- PR 2016-00218
- PR 2016-00283
- PR 2016-00760
- PR 2017-00328, Julie Dalessio
- PR 2017-00357, KURT Diem
- PR 2017-00358, Gregory Pepper

- PR 2017-00359, Ten employees, clients of Disability Services Office
- PR 2017-00821, Office of Scholarly Integrity re: Rhoda Ashley
- PR 2017-00822, Willa Lee, Corazon DeLarosa, Gail Mueller, Rosemary Obrigewitch
- PR 2017-00803, re: PR 2017-00745
- PR 2017-00836, re: PR 2017-00745
- PR 2017-00738, Settlement Agreements
- PR 2017-00737, UW Internal Audit

RESPONSE: Please see attached document Nos. UW4181-4451.

DATED this 6th day of July, 2018.

KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, P.S.

s/ Jayne L. Freeman

Jayne L. Freeman, WSBA #24318
Special Assistant Attorney General for Defendants

801 Second Ave., Ste. 1210
Seattle, WA 98104
T. (206) 623-8861
E-mail: JFreeman@kbmlawyers.com

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that on July 6, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the parties listed below via the method indicated:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Mr. Joseph Thomas
14625 SE 176th Street, Apt. N-101
Renton, WA 98058-8994
Telephone: (206) 390-8848
Email: joe@joethomas.org

☒ E-mail ☐ United States Mail ☐ Legal Messenger

DATED this 6th day of July, 2018, at Seattle, Washington.

s/ Tia Uy
Tia Uy, Legal Assistant

EXHIBIT B



February 2, 2017

Julie Dalessio
1110 29th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98122

Re: Your Claim

Dear Ms. Dalessio:

This letter is a follow up to my letter of November 2, 2016 and responds to your claim filed on October 21st, 2016.

The University must respectfully deny your claim for damages as a result of the disclosure of information provided pursuant to our duties under the Public Records Act. While we regret that your social security number was inadvertently provided in the many documents that were released, we refer you to RCW 42.56.060 “Disclaimer of public liability” which states that “no public agency, public official, public employee, or custodian shall be liable, nor shall a cause of action exist, for any loss or damage based on the release of a public record if the public agency, public official, public employee, or custodian acted in good faith in attempting to comply with the provisions of this chapter.”

Again, I am sorry that we cannot remedy this situation for you; the University is only able to resolve claims for which we have legal liability.

Thank you for bringing this issue to our attention.

Sincerely,

Shari Spung
Director, Claim Services

16 April, 2016

University of Washington
Office of Public Records
4311 11th Ave NE Suite 360
Seattle, WA 98105

Re: PR-2015-00570, PR-2016-00218

In response to his public records request # PR-2015-00570, my neighbor, David Betz, who is suing me for adverse possession of my property and harassing me in every way he can think of, was given confidential information, including my social security number and date of birth, along with other health and personnel related, confidential, exempt information about me, none of which is at all related to his lawsuit.

I am writing to ask that you please confirm that you will immediately redact all private information from the public record.

Also, I am requesting copies of the records of anyone who accessed my records since 2002, along with their contact information.

I have several issues with the selection of documents chosen to be my public records, and would appreciate the opportunity to discuss how these issues can be resolved.

I would appreciate your immediate attention to this matter.

Thank-you,

Julie Dalessio
1110 29th Ave.
Seattle, WA 98122

206 324 2590
juliedalessio@msn.com

Alison D. Swenson

From: Public Records <pubrec@uw.edu>
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:46 PM
To: Alison D. Swenson
Subject: FW: Public Records Request PR-2016-00283 (Dalessio)

-----Original Message-----

From: JULIE [<mailto:juliedalessio@msn.com>]
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:40 PM
To: Public Records <pubrec@uw.edu>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request PR-2016-00283 (Dalessio)

can you say who had these records between 2002 and 2016? when did opr obtain the records? where did they come from?

who "redacted" my records? who compiled the list of redacted documents?

has the uw kept records of all prrs since 2002?

has the uw kept records of all requests to human resources for this information?

i would really appreciate your answers to these questions.

also, should i be expecting to hear from someone concerning the breach that occurred when my confidential info, including dob and ssn, was given to my vindictive neighbor? or can you refer me to the correct person or department?

i am very concerned about these issues and would appreciate your help resolving them

julie dalessio
1110 29th ave
seattle, wa 98122
206 324 2590

From: Public Records [pubrec@uw.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2016 2:51 PM
To: juliedalessio@msn.com
Subject: Public Records Request PR-2016-00283 (Dalessio)

Prepared for release: April 27, 2016

Ms. Julie Dalessio
1110 29th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122

Re: Public Records Request PR-2016-00283 (COMPLETE)

Dear Ms. Dalessio:

This email is provided in acknowledgement of and in response to your public records request for records of anyone who has accessed records pertaining to Julie Dalessio since 2002, received on April 20, 2016.

The records responsive to your request are attached to this email as provided by the Public Records Act of Washington State. This concludes the University's response to your public records request. Please contact our office if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Alison Swenson
Compliance Analyst
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
Office of Public Records and Open Public Meetings
Mail: Roosevelt Commons-Box 354997, Seattle, WA 98195
Street: 4311 11th Ave NE, #360
206.543.9180 fax 206.616.6294
pubrec@uw.edu <http://depts.washington.edu/pubrec/>



November 2, 2016

Julie Dalessio
1110 29th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98122

Re: Your Claim Filed 10-21-16

Dear Ms. Dalessio:

This letter will confirm our office's receipt of the Standard Tort Claim form filed with the State Department of Enterprise Services on October 21, 2016. Claim Services manages tort claims filed against the University and we will be responsible for the investigation of and response to your claim.

We have begun our investigation into this matter and should be in a position to respond to you within 60 days. Should you have any questions or additional information for me to consider, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Shari Spung
Director, Claim Services

From: JULIE [mailto:juliedalessio@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 9:57 AM
To: Shari Spung <sspung@uw.edu>
Subject: re: claim filed 10-21-16

all of the information that i wish for you to consider is contained in PR-2016-00218 from the uw pubrec dept.

if you like, i can point out the pages containing personal, exempt, confidential, defamatory, and retaliatory information.

From: JULIE [<mailto:juliedalessio@msn.com>]
Sent: Sunday, December 11, 2016 11:59 AM
To: Shari Spung <sspung@uw.edu>
Subject: Re: claim filed 10-21-16

please also consider pr-2015-00570, stage 1 and 2. i had assumed that pr-2016-00218 was the same, since this was a request for a copy, but there are some differences.

From: Shari Spung <sspung@uw.edu>
Sent: Sunday, December 4, 2016 2:36:21 PM
To: JULIE
Subject: RE: claim filed 10-21-16

Sorry for my delay in response.
Let me review the records first and I will let you know if that will be helpful or necessary.

Thanks, Shari Spung

From: JULIE [<mailto:juliedalessio@msn.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 9:57 AM
To: Shari Spung <sspung@uw.edu>
Subject: re: claim filed 10-21-16

all of the information that i wish for you to consider is contained in PR-2016-00218 from the uw pubrec dept.

if you like, i can point out the pages containing personal, exempt, confidential, defamatory, and retaliatory information.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 21, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Mr. Joseph Thomas
14625 SE 176th Street, Apt. N-101
Renton, WA 98058-8994
Telephone: (206) 390-8848
Email: joe@joethomas.org

DATED: December 21, 2018

/s/ Brian C. Augenthaler

Brian Augenthaler, WSBA #44022
Special Assistant Attorney General for
Defendant

801 Second Avenue, Suite 1210
Seattle, WA 98104-1518
Phone: (206) 623-8861
Fax: (206) 223-9423
Email: baugenthaler@kbmlawyers.com